Health Education Student Teaching Data Summary

Three-year total: Overall Mean Score for all 10 InTASC Standards = 3.28; Fall 2017-Spring 2020 had a total "N" of 13 student teacher placements Overall Mean Score for all 10 InTASC Standards = 3.23; Fall 2018-Spring 2020 had a total "N" of 8 student teacher placements Overall Mean Score for all 10 InTASC Standards = 3.36; Fall 2017-Spring 2018 had a total "N" of 5 student teacher placements The Fall of 2019 and Spring 2020 number of Health major evaluations were low enough that the teacher candidates might be identifiable, so the 2018-2019 ratings were combined with the 2019-2020 ratings.

Standard #1: Learner Development. The teacher understands how children learn and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

The teacher candidate...

InTASC Standard 1	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Supports student learning	implements challenging		implements		implements grade-		implements instruction		Percent of
through developmentally	learning experiences that		developmentally		level appropriate		that exceeds or does not		Ratings at Proficient
appropriate instruction	recognize patterns of		appropriate instruction		instruction, but		match a		level of 3
	learning and development		that accounts for		does not account		developmentally		or higher
	across cognitive, linguistic,		learners' strengths,		for individual		appropriate level for the		
	social, emotional and		interests and needs		learners'		students		1
	physical areas				differences				
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	23.1% N=3	38.5% N=5	30.8% N=4	7.7% N=1				3.38	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1				3.31	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1					3.50	100%
Accounts for differences in	accesses student readiness		accounts for individual		addresses students'		does not account for		
students' prior knowledge	for learning and expands on		differences in students'		prior knowledge as		differences in students'		
-	individual students' prior		prior knowledge and		a class, but		prior knowledge		
	knowledge		readiness for learning		individual				
					differences are not				
					considered				
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2	30.8% N=4	23.1% N=3				3.27	76.9%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3				3.06	62.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1					3.60	100%

Standard #2: Learning Differences. The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that allow each learner to meet high standards. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 2	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Uses knowledge of	anticipates individual		demonstrates		demonstrates a		demonstrates minimal		Percent of
students' socioeconomic,	learning needs by		thorough knowledge		basic knowledge		knowledge about		Ratings at Proficient
cultural and ethnic	proactively		that learners are		about learners'		learners' backgrounds		level of 3
differences to meet	differentiating		individuals with		backgrounds and		and how to meet their		or higher
learning needs	instruction using		differences in their		how to meet their		learning needs		3 -
rearming needs	knowledge of learners'		backgrounds as well as		learning needs				
	socioeconomic, cultural		their approaches to						
	and ethnic backgrounds		learning and						
			performance						
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2	46.2% N=6	7.7% N=1				3.35	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1				3.19	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1					3.60	100%
Exhibits fairness and	exhibits high		exhibits respect and		communicates		communicates with		
belief that all students can	expectations while		high expectations for		with diverse		diverse learners in an		
learn	designing and		each learner;		learners in a fair		unfair and disrespectful		
	implementing		communicates with		and respectful		manner; provides		
	instructional strategies		diverse learners in a		manner; provides		inequitable		
	to meet the diverse		fair and respectful		occasionally		opportunities to meet		
	needs of all learners in a		manner; consistently		equitable		the diverse needs of		
	fair and respectful		provides equitable		opportunities to		learners		
	manner; consistently		opportunities to meet		meet the diverse				
	provides equitable		the diverse needs of		needs of learners				
	opportunities to meet		learners						
	the needs of learners								
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	38.5% N=5	30.8% N=4					3.50	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	50.0% N=4	37.5% N=3					3.38	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1					3.70	100%

Standard #3: Learning Environments. The teacher works with learners to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 3	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Creates a safe and respectful environment for learners	collaborates with learners to facilitate self-reflection and ownership for ongoing improvement of the classroom community		consistently models safety and respect to encourage a positive classroom learning community that is respectful of all learners' differences, including race, culture, gender, sexual orientation, and language		models safety and respect to encourage a positive classroom learning community		ignores unsafe or disrespectful behaviors contributing to a negative classroom learning community		Percent of Ratings at Proficie nt level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	23.1% N=3	61.5% N=8	15.4% N=2					3.54	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	25.0% N=2	50.0% N=4	25.0% N=2					3.50	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	80.0% N=4						3.60	100%
Structures a classroom environment that promotes student engagement	develops a highly engaging learning environment, taking into account student differences and learning needs		develops a learning environment that is consistently engaging for most students		attempts to develop a learning environment that is engaging for most students		needs assistance in developing a learning environment that is engaging for most students		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	38.5% N=5	23.1% N=3	38.5% N=5					3.50	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	50.0% N=4					3.31	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	80.0% N=4		20.0% N=1					3.80	100%
Clearly communicates expectations for appropriate student behavior	communicates standards of conduct that are clear and effective		communicates clear standards of conduct		communicates standards of conduct that may not be clear		has minimal standards of conduct in place		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	38.5% N=5	46.2% N=6					3.35	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	50.0% N=4					3.31	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	40.0% N=2					3.40	100%
Responds appropriately to student behavior	teacher candidate monitors student behavior and responds appropriately on a consistent basis		the teacher candidate monitors and responds to student behavior effectively		the teacher candidate inconsistently monitors and responds to student behavior		the teacher candidate needs assistance with monitoring student behavior or in responding consistently		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	7.7% N=1	46.2% N=6	46.2% N=6					3.31	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2	62.5% N=5					3.25	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)		80.0% N=4	20.0% N=1					3.40	100%

Guides learners in using technologies in appropriate, safe, and effective ways	plans for and uses interactive technologies as a resource to support student learning; anticipates how information may be misused and develops guidelines for learners to use technology appropriately, safely and effectively		uses interactive technologies as a resource to support student learning; guides learners in using technology appropriately, safely and effectively		attempts to use interactive technologies as a resource to support student learning; guides learners in using technology appropriately, safely and effectively	needs assistance to use interactive technologies as a resource to support student learning; rarely guides learners in using technology appropriately, safely, and effectively		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	30.8% N=4	30.8% N=4	23.1% N=3			3.19	76.9%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1			3.25	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2			3.10	60.0%

Standard #4: Content Knowledge. The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make these aspects of the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 4	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped	Mean	3 or >
							(1)		
Effectively teaches subject	displays mastery of		instructional		displays basic content		displays minimal		Percent of
matter	content knowledge and		practices indicate		knowledge;		content knowledge;		Ratings at Proficient
	learning progressions		understanding of		instructional practices		instructional		level of 3
	that allow flexible		content knowledge		indicate some		practices indicate		or higher
	adjustments to address		and learning		awareness of learning		little awareness of		
	learners at their		progressions;		progressions;		learning		
	current level of		practices are		practices are		progressions, and		
	understanding to		complete and		incomplete or		practices are too		
	either remediate or deepen the learners'		appropriate for the		inaccurate for the		often incomplete or		
			content		content		inaccurate for the content		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	understanding 30.8% N=4	23.1% N=3	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1			content	3.38	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.1% N=3 25,0% N=2	50.0% N=4	12.5% N=1				3.19	87.5%
		•		12.5% N=1					
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1					3.70	100%
Guides mastery of content	creates an interactive		applies appropriate		attempts to apply		applies inappropriate		
through meaningful	environment where		strategies designed		appropriate		strategies in		
learning experiences	learners take the		to engage learners		strategies in		instructional practice		
	initiative to master content and engage in		in meaningful experiences and		instructional practice to engage learners in		to engage learners in mastery of content		
	meaningful learning		guide them toward		mastery of content		mastery of content		
	experiences to master		mastery of content		mastery of content				
	the content		mastery or content						
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	23.1% N=3	7.7% N=1	61.5% N=8	7.7% N=1				3.23	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1				3.13	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2		60.0% N=3					3.40	100%
Integrates culturally	flexibly designs		designs learning		demonstrates basic		demonstrates		
relevant content to build	learning experiences		experiences that		knowledge and/or		minimal knowledge		
on learners' background	that integrate		integrate culturally		ability to design		of learners' cultural		
knowledge	culturally relevant		relevant content to		learning experiences		backgrounds and		
	content to build on		build on learners'		that integrate		experiences, and		
	learners' cultural		cultural		culturally relevant		there is no plan to		
	backgrounds and		backgrounds and		content to build on		design learning		
	experiences		experiences		learners' cultural		experiences that		
					backgrounds and		build on learners'		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	15.4% N=2	53.8% N=7		experiences 15.4% N=2		cultural backgrounds	3.08	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1		75.0% N=6		12.5% N=1			3.00	85.7%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1		20.0% N=1			3.20	80%

Standard #5: Applications of Content. The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical/creative thinking and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 5	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Connects core content to relevant, real-life experiences and learning tasks	designs and facilitates challenging learning experiences related to the students' real-life experiences and relevant core content		designs instruction related to the students' real-life experiences and relevant core content		designs instruction related to the core content but learning tasks have only superficial relationships to the students' interests or life experiences		designs instruction related to the core content but learning tasks have no relevance to the students' interests or life experiences		Percent of Ratings at Proficient level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2	23.1% N=3				3.35	76.9%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	25.0-% N=2	25.0% N=2	25.0% N=2	25.0% N=2				3.25	75%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	40.0% N=2		20.0% N=1				3.50	80%
Designs activities where students engage with subject matter from a variety of perspectives	embeds interdisciplinary connections and multiple perspectives into activities, allowing learners to independently relate these connections to key concepts and themes		designs activities for learners to engage with subject matter from a variety of perspectives and to develop interdisciplinary connections		designs activities for learners to engage with subject matter, from a variety of perspectives but no interdisciplinary connections are developed		designs activities related to subject matter but does so from a singular perspective and discipline		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	30.8% N=4	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1			3.19	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2	50.0% N=4	12.5% N=1				3.19	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1		20.0% N=1			3.20	80%
Accesses content resources to build global awareness	seeks out new and innovative ways to access content resources, including digital and interactive technologies, to build student awareness of local and global issues		uses content resources, including digital and interactive technologies, to build student awareness of local and global issues		accesses some content resources, including technologies, to build student awareness of local and global issues		needs regular guidance to determine where and how to access content resources-to build student awareness of local and global issues		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	23.1% N=3	38.5% N=5	15.4% N=2	7.7% N=1			3.12	77.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2	37.5% N=3	25.0% N=2				3.13	75%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2		20.0% N=1			3.10	80%

Uses relevant content to engage learners in innovative thinking & collaborative problem solving	creates an environment that encourages higher level thinking, innovative ideas and approaches connected to relevant content		engages students in higher level thinking skills such as critical/creative thinking and collaborative problem solving connected to relevant content		engages students in higher level thinking skills such as critical/creative thinking and collaborative problem solving but skills are not connected to relevant content	instructional strategies do not promote higher level thinking or collaborative problem solving connected to relevant content		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	23.1% N=3	15.4% N=2	46.2% N=6	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1		3.19	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1			3.13	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1		20.0% N=1		3.30	80%

Standard #6: Assessment. The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teachers' and learner's decision making. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 6	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Uses multiple methods of assessment	designs and modifies multiple formative and summative assessments that align with learning targets and assessments are differentiated to meet student needs		uses multiple assessments that align with the learning targets		uses multiple assessments, but not all are aligned with the learning targets		uses limited assessment methods and items that are not aligned with learning targets		Percent of Ratings at Proficient level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	7.7% N=1	46.2% N=6	30.8% N=4				3.04	69.2%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3				3.00	62.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1		60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1				3.10	80%
Provides students with meaningful feedback to guide next steps in learning	provides descriptive success and next-step feedback to individual learners and involves them in self-assessment to improve their own work		provides effective feedback to learners that aids in the improvement of the quality of their work		feedback provided to learners is actionable but does not necessarily improve the quality of the work		feedback provided to students is not actionable		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	23.1% N=3	15.4% N=2	46.2% N=6	15.4% N=2				3.23	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1				3.13	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				3.40	80%
Uses appropriate data sources to identify student learning needs	documents, analyzes, and interprets student assessment data gathered from multiple methods to identify student learning needs, achievement trends, and patterns among groups of learners to inform instruction		documents, analyzes, and interprets student assessment data gathered using multiple methods to identify student learning needs		uses assessment data to guide planning and identify student learning needs		uses assessments solely to determine a grade		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	7.7% N=1	23.1% N=3	46.2% N=6	15.4% N=2	7.7% N=1			3.04	76.9%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	50.0% N=4	25.0% N=2				3.06	75%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)		40.0% N=2	40.0% N=2		20.0% N=1			3.00	80%

Engages students in self- assessment strategies	engages learners in understanding and identifying quality work. Infuses opportunities for student reflection, self- assessment, and monitoring of learning goals		engages learners in understanding and identifying quality work (models, examples, etc.). Provides opportunities for reflection and self- assessment		engages learners in understanding and identifying quality work	learners are not engaged in understanding and identifying quality work		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	15.4% N=2	46.2% N=6	15.4% N=2	7.7% N=1		3.08	76.9%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1		62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1		2.94	75.0%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1			3.30	80%

Standard #7: Planning for Instruction. The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 7	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Connects lesson goals with school curriculum and state standards	plans demonstrate an understanding of prerequisite relationships between goals and standards and structure and sequence; proactively anticipates misconceptions and prepares to address them		plans a variety of learning experiences that are aligned with learning goals and standards in a structure and sequence designed to meet student needs		plans for learning experiences that are aligned with learning goals		lesson plans are not aligned with learning goals		Percent of Ratings at Proficient level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	23.1% N=3	30.8% N=4	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1				3.35	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	50.0% N=4					3.31	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				3.40	80%
Uses assessment data to inform planning for instruction	assessments are strategically designed to inform planning and to provide multiple forms of evidence for monitoring students' progress relative to learning targets		uses pre- assessment and formative assessment strategies that align with learning targets and data are used to inform planning		pre-assessment and formative assessment strategies are not aligned adequately with learning targets, so data does not effectively inform planning		pre-assessment and/or formative assessment data are not utilized to inform planning		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	7.7% N=1	15.4% N=2	61.5% N=8	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1			2.96	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1		75.0% N=6	12.5% N=1				3.06	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)		40.0% N=2	40.0% N=2				20.0% N=1	2.80	80%
Adjusts instructional plans to meet students' needs	uses information gained from assessment findings and becomes more capable of predicting, and planning ahead to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs		uses information gained from assessment findings to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs		uses assessment findings to modify instructional plans to meet students' needs		plans are not adjusted to meet student learning differences or needs		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	38.5% N=5	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2				3.27	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2	50.0% N=4	12.5% N=1				3.19	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	60.0% N=3		20.0% N=1				3.40	80%

Collaboratively designs instruction	proactively addresses student learning needs through ongoing collaboration with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, and/or specialists		plans with the cooperating teacher and/or specialists to design instruction that addresses and supports individual student learning		plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information	plans instruction individually		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	38.5% N=5	15.4% N=2	15.4% N=2			3.42	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	50.0% N=4	25.0% N=2	12.5% N=1			3.31	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1		20.0% N=1			3.60	80%

Standard #8: Instructional Strategies. The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 8	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Varies instructional strategies to engage learners	integrates a variety of instructional approaches for all members of the classroom; considers learners' needs, interests, and goals in determining instructional strategies to engage students as both learners and teachers		varies role between instructor, facilitator, guide, and audience; considers learners' needs, interests, and goals in determining instructional strategies to engage learners		uses a variety of instructional approaches but approaches are not matched to learner needs, interests, and goals		utilizes only one instructional approach		Percent of Ratings at Proficient level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	38.5% N=5	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2				3.27	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1				3.25	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				3.30	80%
Uses technology appropriately to enhance instruction	engages learners in evaluation and selection of media and technology resources; uses technology appropriately to engage learners and enhance instruction		uses technology effectively to enhance instruction		uses limited instructional strategies that involve technology		identifies instructional strategies without involving technology		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	7.7% N=1	23.1% N=3	53.8% N=7	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1			3.08	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1				3.25	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)			80.0% N=4		20.0% N=1			2.80	80%
Differentiates instruction for a variety of learning needs	differentiates instruction in the areas of content, process, product, or learning environment in the best interests of the students		varies instruction for individuals or small groups to create learning experiences that are well matched to student needs		varies teaching of individual or small group learning experiences, but variations are not well-matched to student needs		teaches individual or small group learning experiences without differentiating instruction		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	30.8% N=4	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1			3.19	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2	50.0% N=4		12.5% N=1			3.19	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				3.20	80%

Instructional practices	articulates thoughts and		listens and		articulates thoughts		makes frequent errors		
reflect effective	ideas effectively using oral,		respectfully		and ideas using		during instruction		
communication skills	written and nonverbal		articulates		oral, written and		when articulating		
	communication skills in a		thoughts and		nonverbal		thoughts and ideas		
	variety of forms and		ideas using		communication		using oral, written, and		
	contexts to inform,		technology as		skills but over-relies		nonverbal		
	instruct, and motivate		well as oral,		on the same forms		communication skills;		
	during instruction; uses		written and		of communication		does not use		
	multiple media and		nonverbal		during instruction;		technology for		
	technologies; listens		communication		uses technology for		communication;		
	respectfully to decipher		to connect with		communication in		seldom listens		
	meaning		students during		some instances;				
			instruction		listens to others				
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	23.1% N=3	46.2% N=6	15.4% N=2				3.19	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1		•		3.13	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				3.30	80%

Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice. The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, and other professionals, and the learning community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 9	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Uses feedback to improve teaching effectiveness	seeks multiple sources of feedback and takes responsibility for ongoing professional learning to address identified needs and areas of professional interest		accepts and reflects upon feedback from colleagues to evaluate and improve teaching effectiveness		accepts feedback to improve teaching effectiveness		resists feedback to improve teaching effectiveness		Percent of Ratings at Proficient level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	38.5% N=5	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1		7.7% N=1		3.42	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1				3.50	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	40.0% N=2				20.0% N=1		3.30	80%
Uses self-reflection to improve teaching effectiveness	reflects on thoughtful and specific indicators of effectiveness in the lesson. The lessons learned tend to improve future planning, adaptations, and instructional practice		reflects on the lesson and accurately assesses the effectiveness of instructional activities used and identifies specific ways in which a lesson might be improved		reflects on the lesson and has a general sense of whether or not instructional practices were effective and identifies general modifications for future instruction		reflects on the lesson, but draws incorrect conclusions about its effectiveness and/or identifies no areas for improvement		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	46.2% N=6	23.1% N=3	23.1% N=3	7.7% N=1				3.54	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	37.5% N=3	25.0% N=2	25.0% N=2	14.3% N=1				3.44	85.7%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1					3.70	100%
Upholds legal responsibilities as a professional educator	demonstrates an understanding of the larger context of public education policy by staying appraised of changing laws and ethical standards, through literature, professional development or activities		acts in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards; complies with laws and policies related to learners' rights and teachers' responsibilities		acts in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards but demonstrates limited understanding of federal, state, and district regulations and policies		does not act in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards and demonstrates inadequate knowledge of federal, state, and district regulations and policies		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	53.8% N=7	15.4% N=2	30.8% N=4					3.62	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	62.5% N=5	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2					3.69	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	40.0% N=2					3.50	100%

Demonstrates	takes a role in		participates in	participates in	purposefully avoids		
commitment to the	promoting activities		activities related to	activities related to	contributing to		
profession	related to professional		professional inquiry,	professional inquiry,	activities promoting		
•	inquiry, contributes to		and volunteers to	and when asked,	professional		
	events that positively		participate in school	participates in	inquiry, and/or		
	impact school life;		events and school	school activities, as	avoids involvement		
	contributes to the		district and	well as district and	in school activities		
	district and		community projects	community projects	and district and		
	community				community projects		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	53.8% N=7	7.7% N=1	38.5% N=5			3.58	100%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1	50.0% N=4			3.44	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	80.0% N=4		20.0% N=1			3.80	100%

Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration. The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession. *The teacher candidate...*

InTASC Standard 10	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Collaborates with colleagues to improve student performance	initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance		develops supportive and collaborative relationships with colleagues that improve student performance		develops cordial relationships with colleagues; attempts to improve student performance		develops relationships with colleagues that are characterized by negativity or combativeness		Percent of Ratings at Proficient level of 3 or higher
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	38.5% N=5	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2	15.4% N=2				3.46	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	25.0% N=2	37.5% N=3	25.0% N=2	12.5% N=1				3.38	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3	20.0% N=1		20.0% N=1				3.60	80%
Collaborates with parent/guardian/advocate to improve student performance	guides the students in development of materials to collaborate with their families about instructional programs, and all of the teacher's communications are highly sensitive to families' cultural norms		collaborates to make information about instructional programs available, and communications are appropriate to families' cultural norms		maintains a school- required grade book but does little else to inform or collaborate with families about student progress, and/or some of the teacher's communications are inappropriate to families' cultural norms		makes little or no information regarding the instructional program available to parents, limited collaboration, and/or there is culturally inappropriate communication		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	7.7% N=1	7.7% N=1	69.2% N=9		15.4% N=2			2.96	84.6%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	12.5% N=1	62.5% N=5		12.5% N=1			3.06	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)			80.0% N=4		20.0% N=1			2.80	80%

	2017-2018	2018-2020	
2017-2020 Student Teacher Evaluations from Cooperating Teachers	N=5	N=8	Fall 2017-Spring 2020
1: Supports student learning through developmentally appropriate instruction	3.50	3.31	3.38
1: Accounts for differences in students' prior knowledge	3.60	3.06	3.27
2: Uses knowledge of students' socioeconomic, cultural and ethnic differences to meet learning needs	3.60	3.19	3.35
2: Exhibits fairness and belief that all students can learn	3.70	3.38	3.50
3: Creates a safe and respectful environment for learners	3.60	3.50	3.54
3: Structures a classroom environment that promotes student engagement	3.80	3.31	3.50
3: Clearly communicates expectations for appropriate student behavior	3.40	3.31	3.35
3: Responds appropriately to student behavior	3.40	3.25	3.31
3: Guides learners in using technologies in appropriate, safe, and effective ways	3.10	3.25	3.19
4: Effectively teaches subject matter	3.70	3.19	3.38
4: Guides mastery of content through meaningful learning experiences	3.40	3.13	3.23
4: Integrates culturally relevant content to build on learners' background knowledge	3.20	3.00	3.08
5: Connects core content to relevant, real-life experiences and learning tasks	3.50	3.25	3.35
5: Designs activities where students engage with subject matter from a variety of perspectives	3.20	3.19	3.19
5: Accesses content resources to build global awareness	3.10	3.13	3.12
5: Uses relevant content to engage learners in innovative thinking & collaborative problem solving	3.30	3.13	3.19
6: Uses multiple methods of assessment	3.10	3.00	3.04
6: Provides students with meaningful feedback to guide next steps in learning	3.40	3.13	3.23
6: Uses appropriate data sources to identify student learning needs	3.00	3.06	3.04
6: Engages students in self-assessment strategies	3.30	2.94	3.08
7: Connects lesson goals with school curriculum and state standards	3.40	3.31	3.35
7: Uses assessment data to inform planning for instruction	2.80	3.06	2.96
7: Adjusts instructional plans to meet students' needs	3.40	3.19	3.27
7: Collaboratively designs instruction	3.60	3.31	3.42
8: Varies instructional strategies to engage learners	3.30	3.25	3.27
8: Uses technology appropriately to enhance instruction	2.80	3.25	3.08
8: Differentiates instruction for a variety of learning needs	3.20	3.19	3.19
8: Instructional practices reflect effective communication skills	3.30	3.13	3.19
9: Uses feedback to improve teaching effectiveness	3.30	3.50	3.42
9: Uses self-reflection to improve teaching effectiveness	3.70	3.44	3.54
9: Upholds legal responsibilities as a professional educator	3.50	3.69	3.62
9: Demonstrates commitment to the profession	3.80	3.44	3.57
10: Collaborates with colleagues to improve student performance	3.60	3.38	3.35
10: Collaborates with parent/guardian/advocate to improve student performance	2.80	3.06	2.96
Overall Mean for Student Teaching Ratings	3.36	3.23	3.28
Mean for InTASC 1-3 Learner and Learning	3.52	3.28	3.38
Mean for InTASC 4-5 Content Knowledge	3.34	3.14	3.19
Mean for InTASC 6-8 Instructional Strategies	3.21	3.15	3.18
Mean for InTASC 9-10 Professional Responsibility	3.45	3.42	3.43

Physical Education - Program Specific Items - The teacher candidate...

Item	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Effectively communicates	Demonstrates a strong		Demonstrates a good		Demonstrates an		Demonstrates a weak		
subject matter content	understanding of the		understanding of and		understanding of the		understanding of and a		
relative to health and/or	health and/or physical		ability to communicate		health and/or physical		weak ability to		
physical education.	education content		the subject matter in		education content		communicate the		
	areas as well as		the health and/or		areas but displays a		subject matter in the		
	effectively		physical education		weakness in the ability		health and/or physical		
	communicates the		content areas.		to communicate the		education content		
	subject matter.				subject matter.		areas.		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	23.1% N=3	30.8% N=4	7.7% N=1			7.7% N=1	3.23	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1				3.28	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3		20.0% N=1				20.0% N=1	3.20	80%
Teaches to appropriate	Teaches to appropriate		Teaches to appropriate		Teaches to		Method or methods		
cognitive understanding	cognitive		cognitive		appropriate cognitive		used to teach do not		
and/or physical ability	understanding and/or		understanding and/or		understanding and/or		align with the		
levels of the students.	physical ability levels		physical ability levels of		physical ability levels		appropriate cognitive		
	for all students utilizing		the students utilizing		of most students.		understanding and/or		
	several methods of		more than one method				physical ability levels of		
	instruction.		of instruction.				the students.		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	15.4% N=2	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1			7.7% N=1	3.19	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	25.0% N=2	50.0% N=4	12.5% N=1				3.21	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3		20.0% N=1				20.0% N=1	3.20	80%
Demonstrates the	Demonstrates strong		Demonstrates the		Demonstrates some		Demonstrates minimal		
knowledge and skills to	knowledge and skills to		knowledge and skills to		knowledge and skills		knowledge and skills to		
achieve and maintain a	achieve and maintain a		achieve and maintain a		to achieve and		achieve and maintain a		
health-enhancing level of	health-enhancing level		health-enhancing level		maintain a health-		health-enhancing level		
physical activity and fitness	of physical activity and		of physical activity and		enhancing level of		of physical activity and		
for all students.	fitness for all students.		fitness for students.		physical activity and fitness.		fitness.		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	23.1% N=3	30.8% N=4	7.7% N=1			7.7% N=1	3.23	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	25.0% N=2	25.0% N=2	37.5% N=3	12.5% N=1				3.35	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				20.0% N=1	3.10	80%

Item	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Mean	3 or >
Demonstrates decision-	Demonstrates a strong		Models and teaches		Demonstrates some		Minimally models or		
making skills to enhance	understanding of the		decision-making skills		decision-making		teaches decision-		
health in the areas of	value and ability of		to enhance health in		skills to enhance		making skills to		
physical activity for health,	decision-making skills		the areas of physical		health in the areas		enhance health in the		
enjoyment, challenge, self-	to enhance health in		activity for health,		of physical activity		areas of physical		
expression, and/or social	the areas of physical		enjoyment, challenge,		for health,		activity for health,		
interaction.	activity for health,		self-expression,		enjoyment,		enjoyment, challenge,		
	enjoyment, challenge,		and/or social		challenge, self-		self-expression, and/or		
	self-expression, and/or		interaction.		expression, and/or		social interaction.		
	social interaction.				social interaction.				
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	30.8% N=4	23.1% N=3	38.5% N=5				7.7% N=1	3.27	92.3%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)	12.5% N=1	37.5% N=3	50.0% N=4					3.35	100%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	60.0% N=3		20.0% N=1				20.0% N=1	3.20	80%
Uses both formal and	Uses multiple formal		Uses both formal and		Uses some formal		Uses minimal formal		
informal assessment to	and informal		informal assessment		and some informal		and/or minimal		
affect subsequent	assessment types to		to affect subsequent		assessment to affect		informal assessment to		
teaching/learning	affect subsequent		teaching/learning		subsequent		affect subsequent		
activities.	teaching/learning		activities.		teaching/learning		teaching/learning		
	activities.				activities.		activities.		
Fall 2017-Spring 2020 (N=13)	15.4% N=2	30.8% N=4	38.5% N=5	7.7% N=1			7.7% N=1	3.12	84.8%
Fall 2018-Spring 2020 (N=8)		37.5% N=3	50.0% N=4	12.5% N=1				3.14	87.5%
Fall 2017-Spring 2018 (N=5)	40.0% N=2	20.0% N=1	20.0% N=1				20.0% N=1	3.10	80%